Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bao-Han Zhou,* Li-Ping Cao, Yu-Zhou Wang and Meng Gao

Key Laboratory of Pesticides and Chemical Biology of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: chlpcao@mails.ccnu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.064 wR factor = 0.196 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Diethyl 2,13-dioxo-16,18-dioxa-1,3,12,14tetraazapentacyclo[10.7.2.0^{3,21}.0^{5,10}.0^{14,20}]undeca-5,7,9-triene-20,21-dicarboxylate

The title compoud, $C_{21}H_{24}N_4O_8$, is an important intermediate for the synthesis of more complex glycoluril derivatives. The crystal structure is stabilized mostly by intermolecular C– H···O and C–H··· π interactions.

Comment

The glycoluril skeleton has served as an important building block for the preparation of a wide variety of supramolecular systems, including molecular clips (Rowan *et al.*, 1999; Chakraborty *et al.*, 2002; Yin *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2006), the cucurbit[*n*]uril family (Freeman *et al.*, 1981) and molecular capsules (Hof *et al.*, 2002; Rebek, 2005). Supramolecular building blocks based on diethoxycarbonyl glycoluril have been the subject of recent interest (Chakraborty *et al.* 2002; Wu *et al.*, 2002). Diethoxycarbonyl glycoluril derivatives bearing potentially electrophilic cyclic ether groups have been shown to be important intermediates in the synthesis of more complex glycoluril derivatives (Wu *et al.*, 2002). As a part of our research on glycoluril derivatives (Chen *et al.*, 2006), we report here the structure of the title compound, (I).



The bowl-shaped system of five fused rings in (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The ethoxycarbonyl groups are disordered over two positions. The crystal structure is stabilized by $C-H\cdots O$ and $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions (Table 1).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to the procedure of Wu *et al.* (2002) in 14% isolated yield. Crystals appropriate for data collection were obtained by slow evaporation of a dichloroethane-methanol solution (4:1 ν/ν) at 293 K.

© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 3 November 2006 Accepted 25 November 2006

organic papers

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{21}H_{24}N_4O_8\\ M_r = 460.44\\ Monoclinic, \ P_{21}/c\\ a = 8.4954 \ (8) \ \mathring{A}\\ b = 15.0557 \ (13) \ \mathring{A}\\ c = 17.2379 \ (16) \ \mathring{A}\\ \beta = 91.541 \ (2)^\circ\\ V = 2204.0 \ (3) \ \mathring{A}^3 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 4K CCD areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: none 12355 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1286P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.196$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4325 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.84 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
376 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.388 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Block, colourless

 $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mbox{ mm}$

4325 independent reflections

3087 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 298 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.068$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg is the centroid of the benzene ring.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C2-H2\cdots O2^{i}$	0.93	2.41	3.282 (3)	157
C3-H3···O7 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.53	3.434 (3)	165
$C13-H13B\cdots O7^{iii}$	0.97	2.56	3.374 (10)	141
$C8-H8A\cdots Cg^{iv}$	0.97	2.79	3.679 (3)	153

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{5}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) -x, -y, -z + 2.

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.97 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry, with C–H distances of 0.96 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$, and methyl groups were allowed to rotate freely about their C–C bonds. The ethoxycarbonyl groups are disordered over two orientations, with refined occupancy factors of 0.67 (2) and 0.33 (2) for the ethoxycarbonyl groups containing atoms O3 and O3', and 0.514 (11) and 0.486 (11) for the groups containing atoms O5 and O5'. Restraints were imposed on the geometry and displacement parameters of the ethoxycarbonyl groups.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 10% probability level and H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size. Atoms of the minor disorder components are linked by dashed lines.

structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.

The authors thank Professor An-Xin Wu (Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China) for helpful discussions, and Dr Xiang-Gao Meng for the X-ray data collection.

References

- Bruker (2001). *SMART* (Version 5.628) and *SAINT* (Version 6.45). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chakraborty, A., Wu, A., Witt, D., Lagona, J., Fettinger, J. C. & Isaacs, L. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 8297–8306.
- Chen, Y.-F., Wang, Y.-Z., Guo, H.-Z. & Li, Y.-T. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 02738–02740.
- Freeman, W. A., Mock, W. L. & Shih, N. Y. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 7367–7368.
- Hof, F., Craig, S. L., Nuckolls, C. & Rebek, J. Jr (2002). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **41**, 1488–1508.

Li, Y., Yin, G., Guo, H., Zhou, B. & Wu, A. (2006). *Synthesis*, pp. 2897–2902. Rebek, J. Jr (2005). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**, 2068–2078.

- Rowan, A. E., Elemans, J. A. A. W. & Nolte, R. J. M. (1999). Acc. Chem. Res. 32, 995–1006.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Wu, A., Chakraborty, A., Witt, D., Lagona, J., Damkaci, F., Ofori, M. A., Chiles, J. K., Fettinger, J. C. & Isaacs, L. (2002). J. Org. Chem. 67, 5817–5830.
- Yin, G., Wang, Z., Chen, Y., Wu, A. & Pan, Y. (2006). Synlett, pp. 49–52.